

David L. Skelton, Trustee State Bar No. 96250
Rebecca E. Pennington State Bar No. 174488
Richard L. Stevenson State Bar No. 239705
OFFICE OF THE CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE
525 B Street, Suite 1430
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone (619) 338-4006
Facsimile (619) 239-5242

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:) Case No. 12-13564-LA13
)
) TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO
) CONFIRMATION OF CHAPTER 13 PLAN
MICHAEL E MCLITUS) AND MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT
LISSA MCLITUS) TO 11 U.S.C.1307(C)(5)
)
) DATE: May 8, 2013
) TIME: 10:00 AM
) DEPT: 2
)
Debtors.)

DAVID L. SKELTON, Chapter 13 Standing Trustee ("Trustee"), hereby objects to confirmation and moves the Court for an Order of Dismissal and Denial of Confirmation. The bases for the Trustee's objection(s) are:

I. 11 USC § 1322(d)(1)(C)

A. The Plan provides for payments over a period exceeding five years (or exceeding 3 years without cause). See §1322(d) *et. seq.*

1. Plan length is approximately 91 months.

2. Increase payment to \$767/month.

II. 11 USC § 1322 (a)(2)

A. The Plan does not provide for full payment of all priority claims. See §1322(a)(2).

1. 2010 & 2011 tax returns are unfiled.

1 **III. 11 USC § 1325(a)(6)**

2 A. The debtors have no ability to make the payments proposed by the Plan. See
3 §1325(a)(6). The debtors have no regular income. See §109(e).

- 4 **1. Schedule J is negative \$2,534/month.**
5 **2. Trustee requires current pay stub – income is anticipated (See Schedule I #17).**
6 **3. Debtor is 3 payments in arrears (\$1,476).**
7 **4. Need tax returns.**

8 **IV. 11 USC § 1322 et. seq.**

9 A. The Plan is incomprehensible or internally inconsistent and the Trustee cannot
10 administer it in its current format.

- 11 **1. Paragraph 9 of plan has installment payment to step up “upon final payment to**
12 **secured creditor in Paragraph 5”. This is not administrable. Modify**
13 **paragraph to provide certain date. Trustee would have to review every month**
14 **to see if final payment was made otherwise.**

15 **V. 11 USC § 1325(a)(3)**

16 A. The Plan is not proposed in good faith or does not comply with Code provisions. See
17 §1325 (a)(3); *In re Leavitt*, 171 F.3d 1219, 1222-23 (9th Cir. 1993); *In re Lanning*, 403 B.R.47
18 (Bankr. N.D. CA 2009); *In re Padilla*, 213 B.R. 349, 352 (9th Cir. BAP 1997).

- 19 **1. See above.**
20 **2. Amended Schedule F is missing one full page of creditors which were on**
21 **original Schedule.**

22 WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that confirmation of Debtors' plan be
23 denied and the case be dismissed.

24
25 Date: March 28, 2013

/s/ Rebecca E. Pennington
Attorney for Chapter 13 Trustee